Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/071,909	NAKAJIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas A Wille	2814	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Douglas A Wille</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Peter Zura</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 04 August 2004.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 28</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Thibeault et al.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>It was agreed that the claims would be allowable if amended to over come Thibeault et al. and an amendment was suggested.</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
		0	
	(1-2006	3.0/00	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required